Dolores River Restoration Partnership

Core Team "Advance"
March 2nd and 3rd, Durango

Present: Peter Muller, Stacy Kolegas, Clark Tate, Rusty Lloyd, Tim Carlson, Mike Wight, Chris Nesset and Marsha Porter-Norton

ACTION PLAN

Core Team

- 1) Members: (BLM Rep(s), TNC, TC, Youth Corps Rep (Mike W.), Tim, Marsha
- 2) Key Role: Facilitate and coordinate all aspects of implementation; link with Key Partners as needed around projects; is Advisory to the overall DRRP and recommends directions and asks for input and eventual agreement/affirmation by DRRP; collaboratively leads; does not make decisions for others
- 3) BLM representation (Peter will begin talks w/BLM on Which BLM personnel could be on the Core Team?)
- 4) Discuss and articulate Core Team's roles and membership on 3/29; ensure vetting and buy-in; need to ask how Partners wish to be involved in the Partnership; (MP-N to develop some type of graphic)
- 5) Meet quarterly; In between calls as necessary
- 6) Team is "nimble" and can respond to implementation issues as necessary (making sure Partnership is involved when necessary in decision making)
- 7) Will make progress on better mapping (Peter/TNC1 will do projects map)
- 8) Core Team meets with BLM on 3/29 in a breakout

Communication

- 1) Monthly e-newsletter to go out (MPN)
- 2) Committee Chairs write up minutes from meeting; MPN will distribute
- 3) Logo (TC draft)
- 4) Web site MPN will research (need log-in section)

Committees

- Continue Education/Outreach, Monitoring and Funding; Science is no longer a Committee
- 2) Keep lists updated
- 3) Each committee needs to fill in details around annual schedule, goals, work plan (Mike, Stacy and Clark)

Funding

- 1) Committee continues to work on 2012 Plan
- 2) Need to make sure projects and implementation plans are done in a timely fashion so as to plan for grant cycles
- 3) Keep on it
- 4) Need to communicate opportunities to Partners
- 5) Conservation Award? Does the DRRP apply for it or not (due in April)?

Working w/Landowners

- 1) Great progress being made with NRCS
- 2) Small number of landowners in the corridor; individualized plans for reaching them
- 3) Peter and Mike working on an agreement vet with Core Team and hand out on 3/29 and ask for input; will get draft out by 3/11
- 4) Note: Tim needs to ensure monitoring is part of public and private contracts and agreements (Peter will ask Lori A./BLM about this)
- 5) Escalante can be a model (packet)
- 6) Contracts will depend on who is doing what on the properties (funders and programs)
- 7) Issue: Can we secure permission for monitoring via the contracts? Peter will talk to Lori about this.

Outreach

- 1) Mike will develop idea list; discuss with DRRP on 3/29; need objectives for education with methods in relation to capacity (biggest bang for the buck concept); think through audiences and how to reach them
- 2) Committee needs to become active

Grazing

- 1) Grazing can be positive and complimentary to restoration efforts when best practices are used. Benefit both the producers' interests and the Partnerships' by these restoration activities.
- 2) Forum will be held; discuss on 3/29
- 3) Engage BLM staff
- 4) Answer scientific questions where necessary
- 5) Ad Hoc Committee working
- 6) Need a plan

Secondary Weeds

- 1) Koshia speaker in the fall
- 2) Deal with this in implementation plans for 2013 and 2014

мои

1) Target is to get everyone to sign on before or on 3/29, completed most likely by May

Monitoring

- 1) Use common words
- 2) Determine how to frame this topic for 3/29
- 3) Plan needs to be finalized and in place for this year's season
- 4) Mechanism to track progress
- 5) Science and monitoring are two separate concepts
- 6) See monitoring section notes

Bio Control

1) Need a monitoring protocol

Accountability

- 1) Marsha helps track on things
- 2) Core Team has a shared responsibility with the Partners
- 3) Need to build a spreadsheet that reflects work plan action steps that we can use to track accountability at every DRRP meeting and Core Team meeting
- 4) Get Committee list updated
- 5) Create form to use to keep everyone accountable (MP-N) at Core Team meetings (work plan)

COMMITTEES' PRIORITIES AND ROLES

Funding

- 2012 Plan of action
- Revise schedule so plans are done with enough time to pursue funding
- Get a structure; set up consistent meeting time
- Communication is important
- Communicate grant opportunities for projects (ones that are not pursued by DRRP)
- Produce minutes and get to Marsha for distribution
- Write funding proposals for implementation plans
- Work with BLM around funding issues

Monitoring

- Get a structure; set up consistent meeting time
- Produce minutes and get to Marsha for distribution
- Ensure monitoring goals are defined and plan is in place; communicate results; get the structural pieces in place
- Adaptive Management

Outreach

- Need to establish clear audiences, goals, objectives, and methods and methods for each
- Build awareness of the DRRP for support at all levels: funders and landowners participation, etc. Answer: What is riparian restoration, why is it done and what is the DRRP doing?
- Recruit members
- Get the committee vitalized and active
- Be strategic better to do 3 communication tasks well than a bunch of things in a scattered fashion
- Web site (MP-N will help with this)
- Get a structure; set up consistent meeting time
- Produce minutes and get to Marsha for distribution

Science Committee

- Group recommends that it is not necessary
- Deal with "science" issues through existing structure and decide an action step(s) and tools and resources for issues raised such as speakers, literature search, hiring contractors, etc. – science issues raised by DRRP and Core Team
- Immediate: Do a grazing forum working with the BLMs and do a speaker on Koshia
- Keep science on Core Team's agenda

GOVERNANCE AND STRUCTURE

Leadership/Governance

Core Team is made up of TNC, TC, Conservation Corps (as represented by Mike), BLM and the WFF.

The NC, TNC and the BLM are leading a collaborative restoration effort on the Lower Dolores River. Key partners that are involved playing key roles:

- Counties
- Conservation Corps
- Funders including Private Foundations
- NRCS (National Resource Conservation Service)
- Other Governments (e.g. States, Division of Wildlife, etc.)
- Other Non-Governmental Organizations
- Private landowners (voluntarily participating)

VARIOUS ROLES OF THE ENTIRE PARTNERSHIP (SPECIFIC)

- Leaders
- Funding recipients (get the work done)
- Partner to implement or work on targeted issues (e.g., NRCS with landowners) (some are involved by issue; some are involved by geographic stretch)
- Funders
- Researchers (e.g., DU)
- Monitoring personnel and experts
- Participants
- Day-to-day implementers
- Consultants
- Landowners
- Experts in a specific field (e.g., in BLM offices and other partners)
- Communicators

ROLES OF KEY ORGANIZATIONS/PEOPLE

Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

- For long-term sustainability of the DRRP, we need to work with them in an even greater Partnership role
- Add to Core Team
- Involved in monitoring, funding, grazing, "on the ground" work, science, planning, leading
- District specific-also interested in watershed as a whole; various levels of readiness re: projects
- BLM managers and Core Team = breakout at 3/29 DRRP meeting

The Nature Conservancy (TNC)

- · Assist with planning, monitoring and grazing
- Primary role is Implementation:
 - Coordination between landowners, NRCS, BLM, funding = alignment related to projects on the ground; help facilitate getting the work done

.

Tamarisk Coalition (TC)

- Planning
- Head monitoring efforts
- Head funding efforts (with others helping)
- Lead on Volunteer Program
- Support for implementation
- Site specific
- Outreach
- Partnership development
- Details
- Interface with BLM
- Help increase Peter's capacity; support to TNC
- Creating scope
- Information sharing
- "Get it done!"
- No boundaries; lots of flexibility

Conservation Corps (3 of them with Mike Wight representing them on the Core Team)

- Chair outreach
- Transfer of knowledge
- Get on-the-ground work done via crews
- Collaborating with Partnership on seeking funding for getting the work done; coordination across the Corps
- Work force
- Reach the social goals
- Connect with partners across the watershed (and in other watersheds)

Walton Family Foundation

- Support initiatives (with other funders too)
- Interested in the whole watershed
- Interact at a high level to help make things happen (e.g., with the USDA or DOI)
- Strategic support (e.g., hiring Marsha, Chris, and other helpers)
- Take needs to the Board
- Represent interests of WFF
- Technical assistance
- Engagement on the Core Team (Tim Carlson), possibly this role phases out
- Overall guidance to DRRP
- Focusing on issues, not problems

Marsha Porter-Norton

- Track on big picture (reminders)
- Assist with the Core Team
- Facilitation of the larger meetings of the DRRP and Committees where necessary
- Draw people out
- E-newsletter
- Facilitate Core Team meeting
- Not involved in details of implementation tasks/project "on the ground:
- Set up meetings
- Clerical support (via Kathy Sherer)
- Checking in on accountability;

Create work plan template that we track on at Core Team meetings

FUNCTIONS OF THE DRRP AND LEADS

Planning TNC and TC with BLM

Getting work done
 Science
 TNC and Conservation Corps, BLM
 Core Team identifies issues and

develops strategies

FundingBLMTC leads with TNC and CCsTNC and TC primary interface

Key partners re: work TNCVolunteers Program TC

Grazing TNC and TC with BLM

Outreach and Education Conservation Corps with DRRP

Monitoring
 TC

Facilitation Marsha P-NInterface with WFF Tim C

OTHER IMPORTANT ISSUES

Monitoring Discussion:

There are four levels:

- Site-specific for Adaptive Management
 - Anna will provide a document that lays out the choices
 - Selection of one method will be made (by Ad Hoc Team working on monitoring) and recommended on 3/29 to the DRRP
 - Requires BLM input
- Current 40 sites
 - Gives a picture of the entire watershed
 - Anna will continue monitoring at these sites
 - The 40 sites can be extrapolated to the watershed
- Scientific Research
 - Separate this from monitoring
 - Anyone can do, but DRRP needs to focus on monitoring
 - Anna's (and others?) work will continue (her work is about informing public land managers of the best monitoring protocols to use)
- Bio Control
 - Need a monitoring protocol, use or create an adaptation of TC Bio Control Monitoring Protocols

NRCS

- Peter is taking the lead
- There are 12 landowners in the corridor; matching their interests with local NRCS staff/offices and programs

Volunteer Program

- TC leads pilot; needs a long term strategy and point organization
- Need a manager (perhaps AmeriCorps, if it continues)
- Idea is to link with many partners based on volunteer opportunities
- Big undertaking, lots of staff time (outreach, volunteer management, tracking hours, etc.)
- Action item: Do a scouting trip to find areas where volunteers could work; incorporate BLM volunteer programs and support. Question: Is it ok to work in areas not identified in DR-RAP?

Social Goals

- Results communicated on 3/29
- Be transparent about results, results may vary, evolution of asking the right questions.
- Impacts: Are they visually evident or scientifically significant? Do at the end of the day

Cost Calculator (not a DRRP project, done by Chris M. as per WFF request)

- Decision to not have on 3/29 agenda)
- Ask Chris to produce a handout
- This applies to core implementers more than larger partnership

Collaborative Conservation Award

- Still need to discuss
- TC will be applying for another project they work on
- Funding committee needs to discuss this

Agenda for 3/29 (not in sequential order)

- Core Team break-out with BLM
- Talk about outputs from the Core Team; affirm structure of the Core Team
- Get MOU signed, if possible
- Make sure everyone has access to DR-RAP
- Affirm implementation plans (Peter and Clark will do a summary sheet)
- Mike presents on social goals evaluation and also on Outreach Committee ideas
- Get excitement going for work TOGETHER
- Announce strategy on grazing (forum)
- Landowner agreements (handout)
- Volunteer program model presented

Handouts for 3/29

- Agenda
- Chart (one pager) of implementation "picture"
- Map (TNC)
- Landowner agreement
- Cost calculator project handout (from Chris M.)
- Core Team model (MP-N)

CALENDAR

<u>Calendar</u> (Committees need to fill items in after they meet) (from one year of the Core Team "Advance")

March **2011**

- Core Team "Advance" session in Durango
- DRRP meeting 3/29
- Landowner Agreements done (draft 3/11)
- Implementation Plans done for 3/29 (DRRP affirm)
- MOU signed
- Collaboration Conservation Award (where to go with this?)
- Logo drafted
- CC evaluation on social goals summed up
- First e-newsletter goes out
- Field season

April

- Monitoring Plan ready to go
- E-newsletter goes out
- Field season

May

- Outreach meeting held
- Grazing forum
- E-newsletter goes out
- Field season (mid May)

June

- Web site established
- E-newsletter goes out

July

- Core Team meeting (late July)
- E-newsletter goes out

August

• E-newsletter goes out

September

- Weed strategy done for fall; Moab weed workshop
- E-newsletter goes out
- Field season

October

- Implementation Plans for 2013 and 2014 done; address monitoring and maintenance issues beyond 5-year project period
- Core Team meets to plan November DRRP meeting
- E-newsletter goes out
- Field season

November

- DRRP meeting
- 2012 funding line-up (either secured or pending)
- Yearly progress report
- E-newsletter goes out

December

- E-newsletter goes out
- Plan calendar for 2012; Core Team meetings; etc.

January 2012

• E-newsletter goes out

February

E-newsletter goes out