## Study Group Theme Comments for Wolf Creek and Pagosa 5-26

Theme Area #: A Draft Theme Designation: 1

Comment Comment Flags

Support changing the steep lands on periphery of wilderness areas to a Theme 1.

Support for Existing Designation

Theme Area #: Draft Theme Designation: 4

Comment Flags

Support for Theme 4 corridor, but moved south boundary north above the private track. Boundary adjustment really doesn't affect any public land management because it's private land on both sides of 160.

Support for Existing Designation

Theme Area #: <u>C</u> Draft Theme Designation: 3

Comment Comment Flags

Support for Theme 3 designation.

Support for

Existing

Existing Designation

fine as a 3 good – this is a good representation of current uses <u>Support for</u>

Existing Designation

Theme Area #: C1 Draft Theme Designation: 3

Comment Flags

Tuesday, June 21, 2005 Page 1 of 5

The East Fork road corridor could be a Theme 4 due to recreation use and campground, Basis for with A Theme 2 around the plant. **Alternative** Drabasmittii, a rare plant found only here on the western slope, is located here. The plant Basis for could be a good reason to support Theme 3 (natural landscape) or could make a good Alternative theme 2 candidate to specially manage this small area to protect the plant. want to see more management in here - needs to be a well managed 4 instead of a free Basis for for all 4 Alternative Good idea to make a Theme 4 corridor here to accommodate campers. Basis for **Alternative** Leave E. Fork road corridor a Theme 3; don't' want to accommodate more recreation use Support for and amenities (i.e., Theme 4). Leave development at campground and don't improve the Existing road. **Designation** Bad idea to make the Theme 4 corridor because it will invite too many users. Support for <u>Existi</u>ng **Designation** Theme Area #: **Draft Theme Designation:** 5

**Comment Flags Comment** 

Table supported Theme 5 designation in this area. Support for **Existing** 

Designation

Theme Area #: **D1 Draft Theme Designation: 7** 

> **Comment Flags** Comment

Suggest moving the boundary a little further south (taking in some of Theme 7) to keep Basis for the tracks with conservation easements together (i.e., all in the Theme 5 polygon). Alternative

Page 2 of 5 Tuesday, June 21, 2005

## Theme Area #: $\underline{\mathbf{F}}$ Draft Theme Designation: 8

Comment	Comment Flags
What kind of infrastructures and supplies are going to be needed to keep this an 8	
Keep it as a Theme 8 in case of future demands for ski expansion	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
Maybe a Theme 4—would rather see more backcountry ski opportunities in the area. Also would hate to see both the Village proposal and this area developed.	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
If a Theme 8 need to retain a wildlife corridor for movement between the Weminuche and S. San Juan wilderness.	<u>Desired Future</u> <u>Condition</u>
Like Theme 8	Support for Existing Designation
Theme 8 is okay as expansion of the existing ski area is preferred over development of a new ski area	Support for Existing Designation
Would like to see more regulation of snowmobiles in this area.	<u>Travel</u> <u>Management</u>
Enforce penalties of motorized violation into the wilderness.	<u>Travel</u> <u>Management</u>
Theme Area #: <u>G</u> Draft Theme Designation:	1

Tuesday, June 21, 2005 Page 3 of 5

**Comment Flags** 

Comment

making it a 1 increases the fire hazard the additional mititagtion work in a 3 keeps a buffer zone	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
keep it a 3 this is more flexible for management but the area can still stay wild	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
leave this as a 3 its good grazing (sheep) land to the south is ok to be a 1	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
make it a 3 so new fencing and improvements can be made for the existing grazing permit holders	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
Like Theme 1want to keep the area natural and valleys undeveloped.	Support for Existing Designation
Like Theme 1 to discourage permanent development in this area like a ski area.	Support for Existing Designation
The Theme 1 is best for this area to prevent further negative impacts that would come from a ski area development in this area.	Support for Existing Designation
keep at 1 to slow down wolf creek development and expansion	Support for Existing Designation
keep this natural and a 1. But there is good grazing here.	Support for Existing Designation
make it a 1 to preserve and protect what we have left	Support for Existing Designation

## Theme Area #: $\underline{H}$ Draft Theme Designation: 7

Comment Flags

Tuesday, June 21, 2005 Page 4 of 5

like it to be a 3	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
Theme 5 could also included wildfire mitigation and may be more appropriate for the area.	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
3 to keep it preserved – want to keep it open to recreation not traded into private property and fenced off.	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
make it a 5 so we can actively manage this area – it needs a lot of management.	<u>Basis for</u> <u>Alternative</u>
Support for Theme 7 and want to see restoration projects continued (times 2)	Support for Existing Designation
Theme 7 is good because it allows for wildfire mitigation.	Support for Existing Designation
7 fine as long as it doesn't encourage land trades to grow existing private property areas	Support for Existing Designation
Travel management conflicts (especially motorized in non-motorized area) is a big issue in this area. Would like to see more and better travel information for the public and would like to see more support and resources put towards enforcing the travel rul	<u>Travel</u> <u>Management</u>