<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missionary</td>
<td>theme 3 would better accommodate existing uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary</td>
<td>3 does not seem very limited even though you say it's more natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary</td>
<td>Consider changing the area near the boundary of the Weminuche Wilderness from a Theme 3 to a Theme 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary</td>
<td>Recommend designating this area as a wilderness area due to presence of old-growth spruce/fir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary</td>
<td>should be a 1 - difficult access, no roads, locked by private property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary</td>
<td>a 1 designation here would preclude active management for forest treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary</td>
<td>agree with 3 designation because of area's remoteness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>Middle Mountain area. Concern over preserving motorized access. Need enforcement to stay on designated routes to reduce erosion. Snow mobiles don't cause erosion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lakes Agree w/ change to Middle Mountain area because of geologic instability.

**Theme Area #: 293**

**Landscape**

**Comment**

Lakes East side of Vallecito should be a 3 or a 5, not a 1, desire for motorized access.

**Theme Area #: 509**

**Landscape**

**Comment**

Missionary Middle Mountain: want single track motorized loop trail opportunity.

**Theme Area #: 511**

**Landscape**

**Comment**

Missionary drop this area to a 1

Missionary No, there are no markets for trees so that's really not a problem

Missionary municipal watershed: monitor water quality

Missionary close to population center
Missionary

This area has been well managed

Missionary

Concern about motorized off-road travel in upper Missionary Ridge area.

Missionary

Recommend designating this area as a wilderness area due to presence of old-growth spruce/fir

Missionary

ATV folks want to go somewhere. Old logging roads are good places for them, maybe some of the currently closed roads should be opened here to provide additional opportunities.

Missionary

Upper Missionary Ridge – change 5 to 3.

Missionary

Concentrate recreation on the edges of the forest or near roads or other infrastructure to reduce adverse effects of dispersed recreation

Missionary

Manage for water quality 1st and enhance water quality

Missionary

There are opportunities for restoration here.

**Theme Area #: 515**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>There really isn't heavy grazing up there -- don't think it's a concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>Maintain access through private lands to forest.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lakes Support for keeping Lemon Reservoir a 4.

Lakes Concentration of recreational use in the Lemon Lake area is a good idea.

**Theme Area #: 529**

**Landscape**

**Comment**

Lakes General agreement from table that 4 is the proper designation.

Lakes Change from 4 to 3 designation is a good idea due to concerns for excessive recreational use and cattle on burned areas.

Lakes Theme 7 around Vallecito.

**Theme Area #: 535**

**Landscape**

**Comment**

Lakes Are we increasing ATV use by providing easy access?

Lakes ATVs are creating new routes and causing resource damage, especially hunters.

Lakes This is a high use area. If we limit ATV use, are we inadvertently channeling it somewhere else?

Lakes Need forest service enforcement, especially in the fall.
Lakes watersheds are used by ATV's and cattle grazers which could erode

Lakes Keep the Theme proposed.

Lakes Designate this area as Theme 1 to preserve the existing state.

Lakes area is remote, but busy, should stay as a theme 5

Lakes If motorized use is causing damage, it should be prohibited in the area it causes damage

**Theme Area #: 536**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>Near tuckerville, there is much evidence of ATV damage and new route creation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>We need enforcement near wilderness boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>Need buffers to the wilderness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>Old roads are in place, it makes sense as a 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>Wilderness is encroaching on other areas as is</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 5s are up against the wilderness boundary, wilderness should be buffered by less intensive use.