can we manage this for more sharp tail grouse -- would like some 3 areas for the grouse

Just because it's flat does not mean it has to be a 5 -- there are wildlife concerns

I want more of a 3 in this area as wildlife needs to be more protected including riparian areas

find some places in the 5 to limit development

The theme 5 seems appropriate because of the easy access, and large number of roads and other infrastructure in the area.

The No. 5 designation makes sense here because of the large number of roads.

The No. 5 theme designation is good because those activities (multiple uses) are the activities that are out there — that is what the land is for

Level 5 has more diversity and multiple use -- this is good

Elk do more grazing than livestock so leave as a 5 as the livestock aren't hurting anything -- in fact, they're helping
Leave as a 5 b/c grazing actually helps the wildfire and wildlife situation --

If you limit management (e.g. put things at 2 or 3), you just concentrate people and the impacts are higher so…leave it a 5

There are too many roads out there now

make more loops

Would like to keep motorized use out of this area. (This area may have been miss identified and this response may actually belong in an adjacent landscape but if we can keep motorized out then it is a valid response anyway)

This area is good riding for trail clubs and we wants to make sure it continues to be accessible to us.

decommissioning of roads is ok as long as there are loop routes left

Wide support for theme 5 but also wide support for keeping motorized and mechanized travel on existing routes to preserve grazing and prevent damage.

F area designation limits ability to manage roads

The Boggy Draw trails need Stewardship and maintenance by all user groups. There is a great opportunity to begin a stewardship education program in this area. Forest Service should immediately begin the leadership needed in this area.

concentrate recreation more so the whole place doesn't get trashed like California
Theme Area #: A1  Proposed Theme Designation:  5

Comment

ok to expand the 2 if you can still graze and log and take out bug infested trees

Could be managed as a theme 1 to fit the citizens' wilderness proposal.

Look at adding to the 2 -- once roads go in there, it is a 5 Make it a 2 all the way up those drainages for wildlife and other considerations

Theme 2 area should be expanded to the north to take in more old growth ponderosa pine.

Consider continuing to manage for big game winter range in these areas (that were a 3 and now are a 5)

Protect old growth around canyon heads

Both sides of the road are some of the finest ponderosa pines I've seen in Colorado -- manage as forest restoration

Agree with change due to the need for continued reduction of fuels in this area

Agree with change. If historic timber sales there, it probably warrants it again
Theme Area #: A2

Proposed Theme Designation: 5

Comment

Remove dead standing trees and dead trees on the ground in McPhee Park for the health of the old growth trees there (to limit impact of insect infestation, etc.)

put in some non motorized closer to town -- this would reduce impacts to other parts of the Glade

Theme 5 OK, but vehicles going off road in pockets of old growth south of Cottonwood canyon is a concern

Winter snowmobile use encroaches on private lands & causes damage.

Theme Area #: A3

Proposed Theme Designation: 5

Comment

Concentration of yellow icons at the lower end of Boggy may lead to/necessitate more regulation to manage potential conflicts among users – this is/could be a concern

Due to the number of trails and large amount of use a theme 4 could also fit this area. (South end Boggy Draw)

The Forest Service should chip-seal the Dolores-Norwood Road up to the compressor station.

Theme 5 is right because area has roads, stock ponds, many rec uses
Theme 5 is good because of amount of roads

Trails in Boggy Draw should be open to all uses. Motorized should not get locked out of this area.

**Theme Area #: A4**  
**Proposed Theme Designation:** 5  
**Comment**

poplar hunting area

Weeds need to be addressed in the area between Salter and Dry Canyons.

**Theme Area #: B**  
**Proposed Theme Designation:** 2, 3  
**Comment**

Making the canyons a ‘3’ from rim to rim makes it easier for users to understand the boundary of the theme

General agreement that 3 designation of canyon bottom is proper designation. There are few roads, no need for grazing facilities.

Leave as a 3 because it protects the natural state more

Leave as a 3 because of challenges to accessing area
Access to Forest Lands via the school section would be preferred so it causes less damage to the forest. There is a more appropriate route if we could get the OK to use it.

Wait to designate theme until adjacent landscape to the north is assigned a theme

If you use it take care of it, be willing to pay, and support enforcement of the rules.

Change to a proposed new 5 areas back to 3, to provide continuity with adjacent land to the north

Keeping people on the roads would take patrols.

Reclamation bonds for minerals, oil and gas should equal the actual cost of reclamation.

Limit developed recreation to avoid placing a label that attracts more people

More community education is needed about the impact of litter.
Trash collection points at major roads coming off the Big Glade and Boggy areas might help with trash problem (the FS used to do this).

Trash is a huge problem throughout the landscape and needs attention.

Cooperate and reach out to user groups to jointly apply for grants and line up labor to do trail maintenance.

Protection of old growth should allow for restoration to minimize the risk of loss from fire.

Manage recreation to minimize conflicts with private lands.

Restrict Oil and Gas Leasing should be opportunities for individual uses on trails and for separation of motorized and non-motorized

There are lots of road links in these landscapes that could be used to create loop trails.

Motorized users should not be allowed to get off of roads and trails, or damage roads and trails under wet conditions. Hunters on 4 wheelers should carry their game to the trail

Snowmobiles riders are generally responsible, don’t cause much damage, and pack down trails that game can use.